

ezone land at Madgwick Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale			
Proposal Title :	Rezone land at Madgwick Driv	ve and Cluny Rd, Armidale	
Proposal Summary	The proposal seeks to rezone Lots 22-26 DP 1153858, Lots 27-28 DP 1156909 and Lot 1 DP 1147739, 9, 11, 13-17 Madgwick Drive and 15-17 Cluny Rd, Armidale, from R2 Low Density Residential to R1 General Residential and amend the minimum lot size from 4000 sqm to 500 sqm.		
PP Number :	PP_2015_ARMID_002_00	Dop File No :	15/13376
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	01-Sep-2015	LGA covered :	Armidale Dumaresq
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Armidale Dumaresq Council
State Electorate :	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
ocation Details			
Street : 9,	11, 13-17 Madgwick Drive and 15-	17 Cluny Rd	
Suburb :	City :	Armidale	Postcode: 2350
Land Parcel : Lo	ots 22-26 DP 1153858, Lots 27-28 D	0P 1156909 and Lot 1 DP 114	47739
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Craig Diss		
Contact Number :	0267019685		
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.a	u	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Kathy Martin		
Contact Number :	0267703632		
Contact Email :	kmartin@armidale.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	а		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy	: N/A

Rezone land at Madgwick Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale MDP Number : Date of Release : Area of Release 3.20 Type of Release (eg Residential Residential / (Ha): Employment land) : No. of Lots : No. of Dwellings 13 n (where relevant): Gross Floor Area No of Jobs Created : 0 0 The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal. Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? If Yes, comment : Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment: The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal to make a zoning and minimum lot size change for the subject land under Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones * May need the Director General's agreement 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

Rezone land at Madgw	ezone land at Madgwick Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale		
		4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement required	? Yes	
c) Consistent with Stand	dard Instrument (LEPs) C	Order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?	SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England Dumaresq LGA.	North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Armidale	
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) be	ing adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :		posal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs and the In West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.	
		es with section 117 Directions 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and ies and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are discussed below.	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Y	es		
Comment :	Proposal includes size changes. The	d LEP map sheets have not been provided at this stage, the Planning maps identifying the site and the proposed zoning and minimum lot maps provided are considered sufficient for the Planning Proposal to ublic exhibition purposes.	
		accordance with the Department's technical mapping guidelines will a Parliamentary Counsel Opinion can be sought.	
Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Ye	25	
Comment :		tified a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. The RPA has also isolitation with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage.	
	2012 and is identif management strat	zoned R2 Low Density Residential under Armidale Dumaresq LEP ied as an urban release area in the Secretary approved local growth egy. The proposal is therefore considered to be 'low împact' and a 14 vriod is considered adequate.	
Additional Director (General's requireme	ents	
Are there any additional	Director General's requi	irements? No	
If Yes, reasons :			

Rezone land at Madgwick Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale

٠ Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
	5. Providing a project time line.
	Council has confirmed that it wishes to exercise its plan making delegations in relation to this proposal. As the proposal involves only matters of local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council for this matter.
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that Council will complete this matter in April 2016. To ensure an adequate period for finalisation a 9 month time
	frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 was notified on 30 November 2012.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The proposal is needed to make the necessary zoning and minimum lot size changes to Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012.
	The proposal is not the result of any current strategic study or report. The proposal has
	been initiated by the land owner to allow a higher and more appropriate use of the land
	than the current R2 Low Density Residential zone and 4000 sqm minimum lot size.
	At the time the site was originally considered for an R1 General Residential Zone or R2
	Low Density Residential zone equivalent under Armidale Dumaresq 2008, the site was not adequately serviced by Council's water and sewer infrastructure and the existing water
	course and dam were considered as potential constraints for future development. The site is now fully serviced, is in close proximity to other infrastructure and services, adjoins
	existing R1 General Residential land to the west and south, and Council has recently
	exhibited an updated flood study that confirms sufficient area is available above the flood planning level to allow for more intensive subdivision and development.

Consistency with	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.	
strategic planning framework :	While no Regional Strategies apply to the Armidale Dumaresq LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan does apply. The Planning Proposal is consistent with the provisions of the Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (SRLUP), and the settlement planning principles contained in SRLUP.	
	The proposal is consistent with Council's local growth management strategy (New England Development Strategy) that was approved by the Director General in March 2010. The strategy identifies the land as an urban release area.	
	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions, except in relation to 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries and 4.3 Flood Prone Land as discussed below.	
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	
	The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with the Direction as mining and extractive industries are prohibited in the R1 General Residential Zone under Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the NSW DPI Resource Audit Maps show no existing or potential resources in this locality and as mining and extractive industries are prohibited in the R2 Low Density Residential Zone under Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 that currently applies to the land.	
	4.3 Flood Prone Land The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it proposes changes that could increase the development potential of flood prone land. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as:	
	 Council has exhibited an updated flood study that illustrates that flooding impacts are limited to the western boundary of the site and that sufficient land is available on site for more intensive subdivision and building envelopes above the flood planning level; all sites will have flood free access; 	
	 that land is already zoned for residential purposes; clause 6.2 Flood Planning of Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 applies to the land and ensures that flooding issues are appropriately considered and addressed at the development application stage. 	
Environmental social aconomic impacts :	The proposal confirms that the land is not bushfire prone or has any known potential contamination. The main constraint affecting the land is partial flooding (as discussed above).	
	While the site is predominantly cleared and heavily disturbed by past and present activities, the proposal identifies that some native flora or fauna may be present and recommends that a flora and fauna assessment be undertaken either after the Gateway Determination or as part of a future development application. The proposal also identifies the potential for Aboriginal objects or places to be present, with a Aboriginal heritage assessment to be undertaken after the Gateway Determination or as part of a future development application. Based on this assessment, it considered appropriate that the Office of Environment and Heritage be consulted in regard to this matter.	

Rezone land at Madgwick Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and H Other	lerìtage	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes		
If no, provide reasons :	consultation with the Office appropriate. As the proposa watercourse that would requ	matter proceed. It is noted that of Environment and Heritage ar I could ultimately result in work uire a controlled activity approva recommended that the Departme	nd this is considered is within 40m of a al under the Water
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	o) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional st	udies, if required.		
	1,000		
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres	s : studies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio	eary to support the rezoning at p vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultatio ry).	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres	s : studies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultation	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Heritz	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required :	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultation	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Herita Identify any internal cons No internal consultation	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required :	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultatio ry).	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Herita Identify any internal cons No internal consultation	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : n required	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultatio ry).	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Herita Identify any internal consultation No internal consultation Is the provision and fund	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : n required	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultatio ry).	support the proposal after a use matters can be adequately
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Herita Identify any internal cons No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons :	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : n required	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultatio ry).	support the proposal after a ese matters can be adequately on with the Office of
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Heritz Identify any internal cons No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Covering letter Gateway	s : atudies are considered necess una and Aboriginal heritage v or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : n required	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultation ry). ant to this plan? No DocumentType Na	support the proposal after a ase matters can be adequately on with the Office of
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Herita Identify any internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments	s : atudies are considered necess or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : in required ling of state infrastructure relev	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultation ry). ant to this plan? No DocumentType Na	support the proposal after a ase matters can be adequately on with the Office of
Identify any additional st If Other, provide reasons No specific additional st that further flora and fa Gateway determination considered and addres Environment and Heritz Identify any internal cons No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Covering letter Gateway 8.pdf Council meeting 24.8.20	s : studies are considered necess or at development applicatio sed at the development applic age if they deem it as necessa sultations, if required : n required ling of state infrastructure relev y determination request PP N 015 Report.pdf 015 Minute No.199-15.pdf	vill be undertaken either to the s n stage. It is considered that the cation stage (or after consultation ry). ant to this plan? No DocumentType Na o. Proposal Covering	support the proposal after a ase matters can be adequately on with the Office of me Is Public g Letter Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries2.1 Environment Protection Zones2.3 Heritage Conservation

Rezone land at Madgwie	ck Drive and Cluny Rd, Armidale
	 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	 The Planning Proposal be supported; The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days; The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months; Council is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage and the NSW Department of Primary Industries - Water; That the Secretary (or her delegate) agree that the inconsistencies with section 117 Directions 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are of minor significance; and That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is supported as it will allow a higher and more appropriate use of the land than the current R2 Low Density Residential zone and 4000 sqm minimum lot size.
Signature:	72
Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: 8 September 2015
	Team leader Local Planning

